### DESERT COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

### PROPOSITION 39, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (MEASURE B, MARCH 2003) PERFORMANCE AUDIT

**JUNE 30, 2012** 

# DESERT COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT BOND BUILDING FUND

### TABLE OF CONTENTS JUNE 30, 2012

Independent Auditors' Report on Performance	1
Authority for Issuance	2
Purpose of Issuance	2
Authority for the Audit	2
Objectives of the Audit	3
Scope of the Audit	3
Procedures Performed	3
Conclusion	4
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	5



## Vavrinek, Trine, Day & Co., LLP

Certified Public Accountants

VALUE THE DIFFERENCE

### INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON PERFORMANCE

Governing Board and Citizens' Oversight Committee Desert Community College District General Obligation Bond Palm Desert, California

We were engaged to conduct a performance audit of Desert Community College District (the District) Measure B General Obligation Bond Fund for the year ended June 30, 2012.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with the standards applicable to performance audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our conclusion based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our conclusions based on our audit objectives.

Our audit was limited to the objectives listed within the report which includes determining the District's compliance with the performance requirements as referred to in Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution. Management is responsible for the District's compliance with those requirements.

In planning and performing our performance audit, we obtained an understanding of the District's internal control in order to determine if the internal controls were adequate to help ensure the District's compliance with the requirements of Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

The results of our tests indicated that the District expended Measure B General Obligation Bond Fund monies only for the specific projects approved by the voters in accordance with Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution.

Vaurunek Trine, Day ! Co. LLP Riverside, California December 6, 2012

### JUNE 30, 2012

### **AUTHORITY FOR ISSUANCE**

The Measure B Bond Funds were issued pursuant to the Constitution and laws of the State of California (the State), including the provisions of Chapters 1 and 1.5 of Part 10 of the California *Education Code*, and other applicable provisions of law. The Bonds were authorized to be issued by a resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors of Riverside County (the County Resolution), pursuant to a request of the District made by a resolution adopted by the Board of Education of the District (the District Resolution).

The District received authorization at an election held on March 2, 2004, to issue bonds of the District in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$346,500,000 to finance specific acquisition, construction and modernization projects approved by eligible voters within the District. The measure required approval by at least 55 percent of the votes cast by eligible voters within the District. The Measure B Bond Funds represent the authorized bond issuance of the Series 2004A, 2007B, 2007C, 2012D, and 2005 Refunding Bonds.

#### PURPOSE OF ISSUANCE

Pursuant to the 2004 Authorization, the proceeds of the Bonds will be used to finance the repair, renovation, and construction of facilities noted on a specific Project List for facilities of the District. The bond project list includes:

- The repair, renovation, and/or replacement of obsolete classrooms, labs, instructional facilities, and utilities
- · The improvement of emergency access and evacuation routes to increase student safety
- The upgrade, repair, equipment, construction, and/or expansion of classroom buildings, facilities, and sites
- The repair, replacement, and renovation of electrical and mechanical systems to reduce energy consumption
- · Repair and replace outdated natural gas, water, sewer, and storm drain systems
- · Construct an Allied Health and Nursing Facility
- · Redesign wire classrooms to increase the uses of technology
- Install new fire alarms, communication systems, and energy efficient lighting
- Construct an Early Childhood Education and Development Center

### **AUTHORITY FOR THE AUDIT**

On November 7, 2000, California voters approved Proposition 39, the Smaller Classes, Safer Schools and Financial Accountability Act. Proposition 39 amended portions of the California Constitution to provide for the issuance of general obligation bonds by school districts, community college districts, or county offices of education, "for the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of school facilities, including the furnishing and equipping of school facilities, or the acquisition or lease of rental property for school facilities", upon approval by 55 percent of the electorate. In addition to reducing the approval threshold from two-thirds to 55 percent, Proposition 39 and the enacting legislation (AB 1908 and AB 2659) requires the following accountability measures as codified in *Education Code* Sections 15278-15282:

• Requires that the proceeds from the sale of the bonds be used only for the purposes specified in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution, and not for any other purpose, including teacher and administrator salaries and other operating expenses.

### **JUNE 30, 2012**

- The District must list the specific projects to be funded in the ballot measure and must certify that the
  governing board has evaluated safety, class size reduction, and information technology needs in
  developing the project list.
- Requires the appointment of a Citizens' Oversight Committee to review and monitor the various projects and report to the community the progress of the projects.
- Requires an annual independent financial audit in accordance with the Government Auditing Standards
  issued by the Comptroller General of the United States of the bond proceeds until all of the proceeds have
  been expended.
- Requires an annual independent performance audit in accordance with the Government Auditing
  Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States to ensure that the funds have been
  expended only on the specific projects listed.

### OBJECTIVES OF THE AUDIT

Determine whether expenditures charged to the Bond Building Fund have been made in accordance with the bond project list approved by the voters through the approval of the Measure B.

### SCOPE OF THE AUDIT

The scope of our performance audit covered the period of July 1, 2011 to June 30, 2012. The population of expenditures tested included all object and project codes associated with the Bond projects. The propriety of expenditures for capital projects and maintenance projects funded through other State or local funding sources, other than proceeds of the Bonds, were not included within the scope of the audit.

### PROCEDURES PERFORMED

We obtained the general ledger and the project expenditure reports prepared by the District for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, for the Bond Building Fund (Measure B). Within the fiscal year audited, we obtained the actual invoices and other supporting documentation for a sample of expenditures to ensure compliance with the requirements of Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution and Measure B as to the approved bond project list. We performed the following procedures:

- We verified that a separate Bond Building Fund of the District has been established to account for the receipt of bond proceeds and expenditure of the funds for the period July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012.
- 2. We verified the District has established a Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee comprised of representatives from the seven specific constituency groups required by the Proposition.
- We verified the Citizens' Bond Oversight committee met regularly during the year and minutes of the meetings were maintained.
- 4. We selected a sample of expenditures for the period starting July 1, 2011 and ending June 30, 2012, and reviewed supporting documentation to ensure that such funds were properly expended on the specific projects listed in the ballot text.

### **JUNE 30, 2012**

- 5. Our sample included transactions totaling \$14,553,928. This represents 42 percent of the total expenditures of \$34,401,648.
- 6. We verified that funds from the Bond Building Fund (Measure B) were generally expended for the voter authorized bond projects as listed in the Approved Bond Project Listing.
- 7. We verified that the District used formal bid procedures for those contracts over the construction bid level requirements in accordance with the Education Code requirements and District policies.

### **CONCLUSION**

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, Desert Community College District has properly accounted for the expenditures held in the Bond Building Fund (Measure B), and that such expenditures were made for authorized Bond projects.

# SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS JUNE 30, 2012

None reported.