PROPOSITION 39, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (MEASURE B, MARCH 2004) PERFORMANCE AUDIT

JUNE 30, 2007

PROPOSITION 39, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (MEASURE B, MARCH 2004) PERFORMANCE AUDIT

JUNE 30, 2007

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Independent Auditors' Report	1
Objectives	2
Scope of the Audit	2
Background Information	2
Procedures Performed	3
Results of Procedures	3-6
Conclusion	6



LUND & GUTTRY LLP / CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

39700 BOB HOPE DRIVE • SUITE 309 • P.O. BOX 250 • RANCHO MIRAGE, CA 92270-0250 Telephone (760) 568-2242 • Fax (760) 346-8891 www.lundandguttry.com

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

The Board of Trustees and the Citizens' Oversight Committee Desert Community College District Palm Desert, California

We have examined the Desert Community College District's (the "District's") compliance with the performance requirements for the District's General Obligation Bonds 2004 Election, Series 2004 A and 2005 Refunding bonds ("Bonds") for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, under the applicable provisions of Section 1(b)(3)(c) of Article XIIIA of the California Constitution and Proposition 39 as they apply to the Bonds and the net proceeds thereof. Management is responsible for the District's compliance with those requirements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the District's compliance with such requirements thereof based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and, accordingly, included examining, on a test basis, evidence about the District's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures, as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our examination does not provide a legal determination on the District's compliance with specified requirements.

In our opinion, the Desert Community College District complied, in all material respects, with the aforementioned requirements for the year ended June 30, 2007.

Lund & Gattey

November 29, 2007

PROPOSITION 39, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS PERFORMANCE AUDIT

JUNE 30, 2007

OBJECTIVES

The objectives of our Performance Audit were to:

- Document the expenditures charged to the Desert Community College District (the "District") General Obligation Bond Building Fund (the "Bond Fund") established for the bonds and the net bond proceeds deposited into the Bond Fund.
- Determine whether expenditures from July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007, charged to the Bond Fund, have been made in accordance with the bond project authorization approved by the voters through the approval of Measure B in March 2004 ("Bond Projects").
- Note any discrepancies or system weaknesses and provide recommendations for improvement.
- Provide the District Board and the Measure B Citizens' Oversight Committee with a performance audit as required under the requirements of the California Constitution and Proposition 39.

SCOPE OF THE AUDIT

The scope of our Performance Audit covered the period from July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007 and included all object and project codes associated with the Bond Projects. The propriety of expenditures for capital projects and maintenance projects funded through other state or local funding sources were not included within the scope of our audit. Expenditures incurred subsequent to June 30, 2007 were not reviewed or included within the scope of our audit.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

On March 2, 2004, \$346.5 million in general obligation bonds were authorized by an election held within the District. A Citizens Oversight Committee was appointed in April 2004 to comply with the California Constitution and Education Code. The purpose of the Committee is to inform the public at least annually regarding the appropriate use of the bond proceeds. In August, 2004 the first series of bonds in the amount of \$65,000,000 was issued. These bonds were issued at a premium of \$1,288,727.55 bringing the total proceeds to \$66,288,727.55. The total proceeds from the bonds were received by the District (less the original bond issuance costs) and are to be used to finance the construction, acquisition, furnishing and equipping of District facilities. California Constitution, Article 13A, Section 1(b)(3)(c) requires an annual performance audit be conducted to ensure that funds have been expended only on the specific projects publicized by the District. Also, in June, 2005 a portion of the above bonds were refinanced through the issue of 2005 General Obligation Refunding Bonds (the "Refunding Bonds"). The proceeds of these bonds were used to annul a portion of the above bonds, provide for debt service for the remaining portion of the above bonds and provide additional funding to be used for the District's bond projects.

PROPOSITION 39, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS PERFORMANCE AUDIT

JUNE 30, 2007

PROCEDURES PERFORMED

We obtained the general ledger and the project expenditure summary reports and detail prepared by the District for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007 for the Bond Fund. Within the fiscal year audited, we obtained the actual invoices and other supporting documentation for a sample of expenditures to ensure compliance with the requirements of Proposition 39/Measure B funding. We performed the following procedures:

- We reviewed the Bond Implementation Plan publicized lists of intended projects.
- We selected a sample of expenditures in the fiscal year ending June 30, 2007 and reviewed supporting documentation to ensure that funds were properly expended on the specific projects outlines on the publicized list and met the requirements for bidding, if applicable.
- We compared total project expenditures to budgets to determine if there were any expenditures in excess of appropriations.
- We verified that funds were generally used for the construction, acquisition, furnishing and equipping of District facilities and we verified that funding was not used for salaries of school administrators or other operating expenses of the District.

RESULTS OF PROCEDURES

The District has put forward the following projects for use of the Series 2004 A and 2005 Refunding bond funding. The District incurred cumulative total costs of \$27,411,091 through June 30, 2007. Of those amounts \$12,243,493 were expended during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. The projects are outlined on page 4.

Due to revisions in the Education Master Plan of the District since the authorization of the bonds and the ever changing community demand for different instructional classes, bond projects and their related budgets and costs are periodically adjusted to meet these needs. This may also result in bond projects moving between bond series to accommodate timing issues.

PROPOSITION 39, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS PERFORMANCE AUDIT JUNE 30, 2007

RESULTS OF PROCEDURES - continued

	Actual Costs for Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007	Actual Costs August 1, 2004 through June 30, 2007	Total Budget
1. Infrastructure installation and repair	\$ 1,012,529	\$ 1,198,102	\$ -
a. Central plant	621,143	721,769	-
b. Sewer system	300,440	300,440	-
c. Water and gas system	200,192	219,425	-
d. Fire hydrant loop	-	54,219	
e. Infrastructure master planning	152,039	1,156,858	-
f. Safety	-	72,205	•
g. ADA transition plan	-	40,966	
Subtotal	2,286,343	3,763,984	20,900,000
2. Building and classroom renovation	254,278	301,622	993,376
3. Temporary classroom and office space -			
Phase I	7,974	1,990,082	1,954,246
Phase II	2,158,043	2,551,869	2,000,000
Subtotal	2,166,017	4,541,951	3,954,246
4. Parking lot design and construction	242,959	4,293,651	4,263,937
5. Site development - main campus	2,383,616	2,694,998	2,600,000
6. EIR, planning and drawings for buildings	400.760	0.40 100	2 206 055
a. Campus center	422,768	848,102	2,396,055
b. Dining hall reprogramming	145,439	151,119	1 422 415
c. Nursing and health sciencesd. Public safety academy	508,296 650,659	811,611	1,432,415
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	284,222	1,001,770	1,844,727
e. IT classroom f. Alumni center	158,776	490,384 319,355	2,490,665 538,066
Subtotal	2,170,160	3,622,341	8,701,928
7. Site development - East Valley Campus	527,026	1,083,302	15,000,000
8. Site development - West Valley Campus	166,152	520,644	500,000
9. Voice over IP communication	23	959,299	959,299
10. Arts / new scene shop	50,117	68,093	6,000
11. Athletic complex	276,654	279,621	99,966
12. Business building renovation	181,496	191,042	80,000
13. Quickstart projects - unallocated	, <u>-</u>	-	1,958,118
a. Gymnasium renovation and repair	2,118	161,000	1,755,100
b. Sidewalk repair	4	41,383	41,383
c. Culinary kitchen	43,789	441,146	441,399
d. Fieldhouse restroom and shower	5	50,365	50,365
e. Diesel mechanic building floor	198	15,130	53,174
f. Meier Lecture Hall roof repair	27,203	336,899	313,827
g. Safety	58,117	362,456	362,456
h. Burn Tower	26,065	26,065	-
i. Science Labs	10,594	10,594	-
j. Contractor Lay down area	311,612	311,612	**
k. Applied Technology roof repair		-	24,178
Subtotal	479,705	1,756,650	5,000,000
Contingency reserve	1,058,947	3,333,893	13,635,446
Total	<u>\$ 12,243,493</u>	<u>\$ 27,411,091</u>	\$ 76,694,198

PROPOSITION 39, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS PERFORMANCE AUDIT

JUNE 30, 2007

RESULTS OF PROCEDURES – (continued)

Each of the projects has been given a specific project identification number within the District's Bond Fund. Budgets for each project are included in the financial reporting system and actual expenditures are matched against this budget.

The following outlines results of testing related to material expenditures incurred as of June 30, 2007. Projects with insignificant expenditures and projects with no activity for the audit period were not included.

Construction management costs have been incurred and shared by all projects. Other costs incurred included the following:

Project 1 – Infrastructure installation and repair

Current year expenditures were incurred for programming, planning and design costs for the entire infrastructure project. Expenditures appear appropriate to the project.

Project 2 - Building and classroom renovation

Current year expenditures were incurred for programming, planning and design costs. Expenditures appear appropriate to the project.

Project 3 - Temporary classroom and office space - Phase 1

Phase I was completed during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005. Expenditures appear appropriate to the project.

Project 4 – Temporary classroom and office space – Phase 2

Phase I was completed during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. Expenditures appear appropriate to the project.

Project 5 – Parking lot design and construction

Current year expenditures were incurred for the programming, planning, design and construction of parking lots. The two parking lots fronting Monterey avenue were complete as of June 30, 2005. Expenditures appear appropriate to the project.

Project 6 - Site development - main campus

Current year expenditures were incurred for programming, planning and design, and construction costs for the site development of the main campus. Expenditures appear appropriate to the project.

Project 7 – EIR, planning and drawings for buildings

Current year expenditures were incurred for programming, planning and design costs for the five buildings. Also, expenditures were incurred for construction costs for the Nursing and Health Sciences building. Expenditures appear appropriate to the project.

PROPOSITION 39, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS PERFORMANCE AUDIT

JUNE 30, 2007

RESULTS OF PROCEDURES – (continued)

Project 8 - Site development - East valley campus

Current year expenditures were incurred for programming, planning and design costs for the East valley campus. Expenditures appear appropriate to the project.

Project 9 - Site development - West valley campus

Current year expenditures were incurred for programming, planning and design costs for the West valley campus. Expenditures appear appropriate to the project.

Project 10 - Voice over IP communication

This project was complete as of June 30, 2005. Expenditures appear appropriate to the project.

Project 11 – Arts / New scene shop

This project was complete as of June 30, 2007. Expenditures appear appropriate to the project.

Project 12 – Athletic complex

Current year expenditures were incurred for design costs for the athletic complex. Expenditures appear appropriate to the project.

Project 13 – Business building renovation

Current year expenditures were incurred for design costs for the Business building renovation. Expenditures appear appropriate to the project.

Project 14 – Quickstart projects

Current year expenditures were incurred for programming, planning and design, construction and equipment costs for the Quickstart projects. Expenditures appear appropriate to the project.

CONCLUSION

Based upon our procedures performed, we found that for the items tested, the District has properly accounted for the expenditures of the funds held in the Bond Fund and that such expenditures were made on authorized bond projects. Further it was noted that the funds held in the Bond Fund and expended by the District were not expended for salaries of school administrators or other operating expenditures. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the District's compliance with those requirements.