PROPOSITION 39, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (MEASURE B, MARCH 2004) PERFORMANCE AUDIT

JUNE 30, 2005

PROPOSITION 39, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS (MEASURE B, MARCH 2004) PERFORMANCE AUDIT

JUNE 30, 2005

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Independent Auditors' Report	1
Objectives	2
Scope of the Audit	2
Background Information	2
Procedures Performed	3
Results of Procedures	4-6
Conclusion	6

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

The Board of Trustees and the Citizens' Oversight Committee Desert Community College District Palm Desert, California

We have examined the Desert Community College District's (the "District's") compliance with the performance requirements for the District's General Obligation Bonds 2004 Election, Series 2004 A and 2005 Refunding bonds ("Bonds") for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005, under the applicable provisions of Section 1(b)(3)(c) of Article XIIIA of the California Constitution and Proposition 39 as they apply to the Bonds and the net proceeds thereof. Management is responsible for the District's compliance with those requirements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the District's compliance with such requirements thereof based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and, accordingly, included examining, on a test basis, evidence about the District's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures, as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our examination does not provide a legal determination on the District's compliance with specified requirements.

In our opinion, the Desert Community College District complied, in all material respects, with the aforementioned requirements for the year ended June 30, 2005.

August 17, 2005

PROPOSITION 39, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS PERFORMANCE AUDIT

JUNE 30, 2005

OBJECTIVES

The objectives of our Performance Audit were to:

- Document the expenditures charged to the Desert Community College District (the "District") General Obligation Bond Building Fund (the "Bond Fund") established for the bonds and the net bond proceeds deposited into the Bond Fund.
- Determine whether expenditures from July 1, 2004 through June 30, 2005, charged to the Bond Fund, have been made in accordance with the bond project authorization approved by the voters through the approval of Measure B in March 2004 ("Bond Projects").
- Note any discrepancies or system weaknesses and provide recommendations for improvement.
- Provide the District Board and the Measure B Citizens' Oversight Committee with a performance audit as required under the requirements of the California Constitution and Proposition 39.

SCOPE OF THE AUDIT

The scope of our Performance Audit covered the period from July 1, 2004 through June 30, 2005 and included all object and project codes associated with the Bond Projects. The propriety of expenditures for capital projects and maintenance projects funded through other state or local funding sources were not included within the scope of our audit. Expenditures incurred subsequent to June 30, 2005 were not reviewed or included within the scope of our audit.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

On March 2, 2004, \$346.5 million in general obligation bonds were authorized by an election held within the District. A Citizens Oversight Committee was appointed in April 2004 to comply with the California Constitution and Education Code. The purpose of the Committee is to inform the public at least annually regarding the appropriate use of the bond proceeds. In August, 2004 the first series of bonds in the amount of \$65,000,000 was issued. These bonds were issued at a premium of \$1,288,727.55 bringing the total proceeds to \$66,288,727.55. The total proceeds from the bonds were received by the District (less the original bond issuance costs) and are to be used to finance the construction, acquisition, furnishing and equipping of District facilities. California Constitution, Article 13A, Section 1(b)(3)(c) requires an annual performance audit be conducted to ensure that funds have been expended only on the specific projects publicized by the District. Also, in June, 2005 a portion of the above bonds were refinanced through the issue of 2005 General Obligation Refunding Bonds (the "Refunding Bonds"). The proceeds of these bonds were used to defease a portion of the above bonds, provide for debt service for the remaining portion of the above bonds and provide additional funding to be used for the District's bond projects.

PROPOSITION 39, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS PERFORMANCE AUDIT

JUNE 30, 2005

PROCEDURES PERFORMED

We obtained the general ledger and the project expenditure summary reports and detail prepared by the District for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005 for the Bond Fund. Within the fiscal year audited, we obtained the actual invoices and other supporting documentation for a sample of expenditures to ensure compliance with the requirements of Proposition 39/Measure B funding. We performed the following procedures:

- We reviewed the Bond Implementation Plan publicized lists of intended projects.
- We selected a sample of expenditures in the fiscal year ending June 30, 2005 and reviewed supporting documentation to ensure that funds were properly expended on the specific projects outlines on the publicized list and met the requirements for bidding, if applicable.
- We compared total project expenditures to budgets to determine if there were any expenditures in excess of appropriation.
- We verified that funds were generally used for the construction, acquisition, furnishing and equipping of District facilities and we verified that funding was not used for salaries of school administrators or other operating expenses of the District.

PROPOSITION 39, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS PERFORMANCE AUDIT

JUNE 30, 2005

RESULTS OF PROCEDURES

The District put forward 10 projects for use of the Series 2004 A and 2005 Refunding bond funding. The District has incurred cumulative total costs of \$8,516,864 through June 30, 2005 of which \$8,475,153 were expended during fiscal year ended June 30, 2005. The projects are outlined below:

outlined below:	Actual Costs	Actual Costs	
	For Fiscal	March 2, 2004	
	Year Ended	through	Total
	June 30, 2005	June 30, 2004	Budget_
1. Infrastructure installation and repair	<u>ourio 30, 2000</u>		
Central plant	\$ 60,654	\$ -	\$ -
Sewer system	-	-	-
Water and gas system	· -	-	-
Fire hydrant loop	44,207	-	-
Infrastructure master planning	245,347	-	-
Safety	58,871	<u></u>	-
Unallocated programming costs	236,535	_	
Subtotal	645,615		20,000,000
2. Building and classroom renovation	39,701	13,614	1,000,000
3. Temporary classroom and office space	•		
Phase I	1,988,327	-	2,000,000
Phase II	78,364		2,000,000
Subtotal	2,066,691	600	4,000,000
4. Parking lot design and construction	3,730,246	28,097	5,000,000
5. Site development – main campus	35,480	-	3,000,000
6. EIR, planning and drawings for five buildings	523,908	-	8,000,000
7. Site development – East valley campus	350,555	-	15,000,000
8. Site development – West valley campus	42,813	-	500,000
9. Voice over IP communication	952,154	-	1,000,000
10.Quickstart projects			
Gymnasium renovation and repair	26,069	-	1,755,100
Sidewalk repair	11,545	-	15,300
Culinary kitchen	455	-	35,700
Fieldhouse restroom and shower	1,407	-	52,555
Diesel mechanic building floor	678	· -	53,174
Meier Lecture Hall roof repair	4,581	-	200,429
Safety	9,945	-	40,000
Applied Technology roof repair	308	-	24,178
Unallocated	33,002		<u>2,823,564</u>
Subtotal	<u>87,990</u>		5,000,000
Contingency reserve			10,348,412
Total	<u>\$ 8,475,153</u>	<u>\$ 41,711</u>	<u>\$72,848,412</u>

PROPOSITION 39, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS PERFORMANCE AUDIT

JUNE 30, 2005

RESULTS OF PROCEDURES – (continued)

Each of the projects has been given a specific project identification number within the District's Bond Fund. Budgets for each project are included in the financial reporting system and actual expenditures are matched against this budget.

The following outlines results of testing related to material expenditures incurred as of June 30, 2005. Projects with insignificant expenditures and projects with no activity for the audit period were not included.

Construction management costs have been incurred and shared by all projects. Other costs incurred included the following:

Project 1 - Infrastructure installation and repair

Current year expenditures were incurred for programming, planning and design costs for the entire infrastructure project as well as construction costs for the central plant and fire hydrant loop. Expenditures appear appropriate to the project.

Project 2 - Building and classroom renovation

Current year expenditures were incurred for programming, planning and design costs as well as construction costs for the remodeling of the Administrative building. Expenditures appear appropriate to the project.

Project 3 - Temporary classroom and office space

Current year expenditures were incurred for the programming, planning, design and construction of Phase I (Nursing and general education classrooms) and Phase II (TRIO, Center for Training and Development and Upward Bound). Phase I was complete and Phase II was mostly complete as of June 30, 2005. Expenditures appear appropriate to the project.

Project 4 – Parking lot design and construction

Current year expenditures were incurred for the programming, planning, design and construction of two parking lots fronting Monterey Avenue. Both of these parking lots were complete as of June 30, 2005. Expenditures appear appropriate to the project.

Project 5 – Site development – main campus

Current year expenditures were incurred for programming, planning and design costs for the site development of the main campus. Expenditures appear appropriate to the project.

Project 6 – EIR, planning and drawings for five buildings

Current year expenditures were incurred for programming, planning and design costs for the five buildings. Expenditures appear appropriate to the project.

Project 7 – Site development – East valley campus

Current year expenditures were incurred for programming, planning and design costs for the East valley campus. Expenditures appear appropriate to the project.

PROPOSITION 39, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS PERFORMANCE AUDIT

JUNE 30, 2005

RESULTS OF PROCEDURES – (continued)

Project 8 – Site development – West valley campus

Current year expenditures were incurred for programming, planning and design costs for the West valley campus. Expenditures appear appropriate to the project.

Project 9 - Voice over IP communication

Current year expenditures were incurred for programming, planning, equipment and design costs for the Voice over IP communication system. This project was complete as of June 30, 2005. Expenditures appear appropriate to the project.

Project 10 - Quickstart projects

Current year expenditures were incurred for programming, planning and design costs for the Quickstart projects. Expenditures appear appropriate to the project.

CONCLUSION

Based upon our procedures performed, we found that for the items tested, the District has properly accounted for the expenditures of the funds held in the Bond Fund and that such expenditures were made on authorized bond projects. Further it was noted that the funds held in the Bond Fund and expended by the District were not expended for salaries of school administrators or other operating expenditures. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the District's compliance with those requirements.